

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron 60 (2004) 1375–1383

Tetrahedron

Pentadienyl transfer reagents based on zirconium: preparation and reactions with carbonyl compounds

Philippe Bertus, Ludovic Drouin, Christophe Laroche and Jan Szymoniak*

Réactions Sélectives et Applications (UMR 6519), CNRS and Université de Reims, 51687 Reims Cedex 2, France

Received 26 June 2003; revised 28 August 2003; accepted 31 August 2003

Abstract—A variety of 2,4-pentadienylzirconiums were generated by reacting pentadienyl ethers with zirconocene 'Cp₂Zr'. These complexes underwent a highly γ -regioselective and *anti*-stereoselective in situ addition with carbonyl compounds to afford bis(homoallylic) alcohols in good yields. The reversal of *anti* vs syn selectivity was simply achieved with BF₃, thus expanding the synthetic potential of the reaction.

 $©$ 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Allylmetals are important reagents for the stereoselective carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions.^{[1](#page-7-0)} Particularly, they react with carbonyl compounds to produce homoallylic alcohols, which are useful synthetic intermediates. In contrast, the analogous 2,4-pentadienylmetals employing reactions have been much less investigated.[2](#page-8-0) The regio-

Scheme 1.

chemical outcome of the pentadienylation reactions is shown in Scheme 1.

When the reaction occurs at the ϵ (or α)-carbon in the complex, a linear alcohol is formed, whereas at the γ -carbon a branched alcohol is obtained. The regioselectivity of these reactions has been demonstrated to vary with the metal.^{[2](#page-8-0)} Whereas lithium, potassium and magnesium generally give poor regioselectivity, the addition at the γ -position preferentially occurs with indium, titanium, boron, zinc or chromium, and at the ε -position with silicon and tin. Furthermore, the stereoselectivity of the pentadienylation reactions have not been studied systematically. Both anti

Scheme 2.

* Corresponding author. Fax: þ33-326-913-166; e-mail address: jan.szymoniak@univ-reims.fr

Keywords: Homoallylic alcohols; Pentadienylation reaction; Stereocontrol; Zirconium.

and/or syn diastereoselectivity have been observed depending on the metal.

Pentadienylmetals are typically prepared by transmetalation from lithium or potassium pentadienyl precursors or by Barbier-type reactions from the corresponding pentadienyl bromides. The synthetic potential of pentadienylmetals would be considerably enhanced if these complexes could be prepared from chemically more stable and readily available starting materials.[3](#page-8-0) With this aim in view we have reported a preliminary work on a simple and practical way of preparing pentadienylzirconocenes from dienyl ethers.^{[4](#page-8-0)} These complexes proved to react with aldehydes in the γ -selective manner. We disclose herein a full account on the reactions involving pentadienylzirconium reagents.

2. Results and discussion

It is known that allylic ethers react with (1-butene)zircono- $cene⁵$ $cene⁵$ $cene⁵$ to afford allylzirconium derivatives.^{[6](#page-8-0)} The reaction occurs through ligand exchange, formation of a zirconacyclopropane and the successive β -elimination of the alkoxy group [\(Scheme 2,](#page-0-0) Eq. (1)).

We have demonstrated that, analogously, pentadienylzirconium compounds could be generated from dienyl ethers ([Scheme 2](#page-0-0), Eq. (2)).^{[7](#page-8-0)} It is noteworthy that the same complex B was solely obtained from both the linear ether 1 and the ramified ether 2. We assumed the zirconium fragment $(Cp₂ZrOBn)$ would migrate in **A** to a less hindered site of the allyl moiety, leading to the thermodynamically more favorable complex B^{δ} . Accordingly, both linear and

Only the major stereoisomer is shown.
Isolated yield. $antilsyn$ Ratio determinated by ¹H NMR.

 d Paraformaldehyde was used.

ramified pentadienyl ethers can be employed as starting materials to form the unique penta-2,4-dienylzirconium species.

The pentadienylzirconocenes were typically formed from the pentadienyl ether, Cp_2ZrCl_2 and $2n$ -BuLi by applying the Taguchi protocol for the formation of allylzircono-cenes^{[6d](#page-8-0)} or better by a modified procedure.^{[4,9](#page-8-0)} The zirconium species thus generated were further used for the pentadienylation reactions. In the first experiment, the preformed complex B was reacted with benzaldehyde to produce the alcohol 3 regioselectively, in $60-70\%$ yield ([Scheme 2](#page-0-0)).^{[4](#page-8-0)} To investigate both the regio- and the stereoselectivity of the reaction we next used the pentadienyl ethers 4a–d and various carbonyl compounds as substrates. The results are summarized in [Table 1](#page-1-0).

2.1. Temperature and structure effects on stereoselectivity

In all cases the reaction occurred regioselectively at the γ -carbon of the complex to afford the branched alcohols 5a–g solely. Furthermore, the pentadienylation of the aldehydes appeared to be markedly *anti*-stereoselective.^{[10](#page-8-0)} The stereoselectivity of the reaction employing PhCHO can slightly be increased by introducing a bulky OX group in the ether. Whereas the diastereomeric ratio remains almost constant for X=Bn, Me and Sit-BuMe₂ (entries $1-3$), an improvement in the anti diastereoselection was observed for $X = S$ it-BuPh₂ (entry 4). The stereoselectivity of the reaction was increased further by adding the aldehyde at $-78 \degree C$ rather than at 20 \degree C. Two examples employing the ether 4a and benzaldehyde are given (entries 1 and 5). In these

Table 2. Zr-mediated pentadienylations (following)

conditions, a high anti/syn ratio remains almost constant (92:8 to $>$ 95:5) for the aromatic, α , β -unsaturated as well as the aliphatic aldehydes (entries 5–9). Both regio- and stereochemistry of the reaction are consistent with a conventional six-membered chair-like transition state, as proposed for the simpler allylzirconation reactions.^{[1](#page-7-0)} The primary alcohol 5f and the tertiary alcohol 5g (a terpene, santolina alcohol) can finally be obtained by employing respectively paraformaldehyde and acetone (entries 10 and 11).

2.2. Ether components

The synthetic utility of the reaction is also displayed by the possible use of various penta-1,4-dienyl ethers as substrates. Both 1- and 2-substituted pentadienyl ethers were employed, and the examples of these reactions are depicted in Table 2.

As for the non-substituted and 1,1-disubstituted pentadienyl ether, also in these cases γ -regioisomers were obtained solely in good yields. All the reactions involving 1-substituted ethers (entries 1–4) proceeded with a high anti diastereoselectivity (antilsyn \cong 95:5). The high level of anti selection appeared to be independent both of the nature of the aldehyde (aromatic, α , β -unsaturated or aliphatic, entries 1–3, respectively), and of the alkoxy group in the ether $(X=Si_t-BuMe₂$ or Bn, entries 1–3 vs 4). We observed a partial E to Z isomerization of the double bond in alcohols 7d and 7e derived from the ether 6b. Finally, only a moderate decrease in anti selectivity has been noticed when using a 2-substituted ether instead of the 1-substituted analogue (alcohols 7d and 7f, entries 4 and 6).

Entry	Ether	Aldehyde	$\mathbf{Product}^{\mathrm{a}}$	Yield $(\%)^b$ (antilsyn) ^c
$\mathbf{1}$	OS it-BuMe $_2$ 6a Ph ⁻	PhCHO	Ph_{\sim} , OH ${\bf 7a}$ Ph	90 (>95:5)
\overline{c}	6a	PhCH=CHCHO	Ph ₁ HO, $7\mathrm{b}$ Ph	81 (>95:5)
3	6a	EtCHO	Et _v OH $7\mathrm{c}$ Ph	90 (93:7)
$\overline{4}$	OBn 6 _b	PhCHO	Ph_{\searrow} _, OH $7\mathrm{d}$	85 $(>95:5)^d$
5	6b	(HCHO) _n	ЮH ${\bf 7e}$	62 $(-)^e$
6	OBn $6c \leq$	PhCHO	Ph_{\sim} , OH 7f	76 (88:12)

^a Only the major stereoisomer is shown.
^b Isolated yield. c anti/syn Ratio determinated by ¹H NM

^c *antilsyn* Ratio determinated by ¹H NMR.
^d *E/Z* isomers=80:20.
^e *E/Z* isomers=71:29.

Scheme 3.

2.3. Reversal of anti to syn diastereoselectivity

At this stage, the synthetic limitation of the pentadienylation reactions lied in their invariably predominant anti stereochemistry. We made efforts to control the stereochemistry of these reactions, i.e. to ensure that it could be reversed leading mainly to the syn configurated alcohols. The anti stereochemistry can be explained by assuming a conventional six-membered chair-like transition state, as for the simpler allylzirconation reactions (Scheme 3). We then examinated whether it was possible to modify the reaction conditions to favour a non-cyclic mechanism^{[1](#page-7-0)} over the cyclic one.

Lewis acids^{[11](#page-8-0)} and polar solvents, especially $HMPA$,¹² have been shown to affect the stereochemistry of the reactions originally proceeding through a six-membered transition state. We first examined the effect of HMPA as co-solvent and noticed that no change in stereochemistry took place. To promote a non-cyclic mechanism for the pentadienylation reactions, we then turned to the use of a Lewis acid. After the complex had been generated, BF_3 ·OEt₂ (1 equiv.) was added at -78 °C, followed by the aldehyde, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C. We noticed that a reversal of anti to syn stereoselectivity took place by applying this modified procedure (Procedure B, Section $3)$.^{[13](#page-8-0)} The results of the reactions with or without BF₃, employing ethers $4a$ and $6a-c$, as well as the aromatic, α, β -unsaturated and aliphatic aldehydes are summarized in Table 3.

We noticed that a spectacular reversal of *anti* to syn stereoselectivity invariably took place when using the aromatic or α , β -unsaturated aldehydes, i.e. benzaldehyde (entries 1, 6, 9, and 10), cinnamaldehyde (entries 3 and 7) and crotonaldehyde (entry 2). In contrast, the effect of modifying the stereoselectivity was only moderate for the aliphatic aldehydes (entries 4, 5, and 8).

The Lewis acid-induced reversal of anti to syn stereoselectivity in the reactions of η ¹-allyltitaniums with

Scheme 4.

carbonyl compounds was observed by Reetz. 11 The predominant syn selectivity in the presence of a Lewis acid was considered to be consistent with a non-cyclic antiperiplanar transition state.^{[14](#page-8-0)} We assumed tentatively, that also in our case, the reversal of anti to syn selectivity can be explained by a non-cyclic mechanism operating with $BF₃$ as presented in Scheme 4.^{[15](#page-8-0)}

A higher syn selectivity for the aromatic or unsaturated than for the aliphatic aldehydes is not entirely clear at present. This could possibly be rationalized by additional destabilizing $\pi-\pi$ interactions in the transition structure C, thus favouring all the more D. However, the mechanism of the Lewis acid-mediated reactions could even be more complicated.[16](#page-8-0) Systematic mechanistic studies are needed to fully elucidate the effect of Lewis acids on the stereoselectivity.

In summary, we have presented a practical procedure for preparing bis(homoallylic) alcohols in a highly γ -regioselective and *anti*-stereoselective manner. Furthermore, in the presence of a Lewis acid, the stereochemistry can be reversed leading predominantly to the syn-configurated products.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

All reactions were conducted under an atmosphere of dry

Table 3. Effect of BF_3 OE_2 on the *anti* vs *syn* diastereoselectivity

Entry	Ether	Carbonyl compound	Product	anti/syn ^a	BF_3 ·OEt ₂ anti/syn ^a
	4a	PhCHO	5a	92:8	26:74
	4a	МеСН=СНСНО	5b	93:7	18:82
	4a	$PhCH=CHCHO$	5с	92:8	20:80
4	4a	EtCHO	5d	>95:5	68:32
	4a	<i>i</i> -PrCHO	5е	>95:5	57:43
6	6a	PhCHO	7а	>95:5	16:84
	6a	$PhCH=CHCHO$	7b	>95:5	18:82
8	6a	EtCHO	7с	93:7	50:50
9	6b	PhCHO	7d	>95:5	21:79
10	6с	PhCHO	7f	88:12	$35:65^b$

antilsyn Ratio determinated by ${}^{1}H$ NMR.

 ε -Addition product, i.e. 3-methyl-1-phenylhexa-3,5-dien-1-ol (8), was also formed in 29% yield.

argon using standard Schlenk techniques. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a Bruker AC-250 or DRX-500 spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Avatar 320 instrument. Mass spectra were recorded on a ThermoFinnigan Trace MS spectrometer. Cp_2ZrCl_2 and vinylmagnesium bromide reagents were used as received. n-BuLi was titrated with diphenylacetic acid in THF prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use.

3.2. Preparation of ethers

3.2.1. 4-Benzyloxy-2-methylhexa-2,5-diene (4a). A solution of 3-methylbut-2-enal (7.7 mL, 80 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature to a solution of vinylmagnesium bromide (100 mL, 100 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) in THF (50 mL). After stirring for 1 h, 5 mL of water was added to the reaction mixture to produce a viscous paste in the walls of the flask. The organic layer was separated. The paste was washed twice with $Et₂O$. The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO4. Filtration and removal of the solvent gave 8.5 g (95%) of the crude alcohol which was sufficiently pure (according to NMR) to be used in the next step. NaH (0.87 g, 36 mmol, oil removed by washing with pentane) was added to a solution of the crude alcohol (3.36 g, 30 mmol) in THF (30 mL). After stirring for 1 h, benzyl bromide (3.36 mL, 30 mmol) was added. After stirring overnight, water was poured into the mixture and extracted twice with $Et₂O$. The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO4. Filtration and removal of the solvent gave a light yellow oil which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 99:1). Yield 4.36 g (72%). ¹H NMR (250 MHz) : δ (ppm)=1.65 (s, 3H), 1.79 (s, 3H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 4.52–4.58 (m, 1H), 5.15–5.29 (m, 3H), 5.86 (ddd, J=17.3, 10.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.39 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.3 (CH₃), 25.9 (CH₃), 69.3 (CH₂), 76.5 (CH), 115.7 (CH₂), 124.3 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 136.2 (C), 138.1 (CH), 138.7 (C). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3064, 2859, 1672, 1454, 1380. MS (EI): m/z (%)=202 (1, M⁺), 108 (64), 94 (64), 79 (100).

Ethers 4b–d were obtained following the procedure described for the preparation of the ether 4a, by using MeI, t -BuMe₂SiCl and t -BuPh₂SiCl, respectively, instead of BnBr.

3.2.2. 4-Methoxy-2-methylhexa-2,5-diene $(4b)$. ¹H NMR (250 MHz) : δ (ppm)=1.70 (s, 3H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 4.32 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.08–5.27 (m, 3H), 5.77 (ddd, J=17.2, 10.3, 6.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ $(ppm)=18.3$ (CH₃), 25.8 (CH₃), 55.4 (CH₃), 79.0 (CH), 115.7 (CH₂), 124.2 (CH), 136.3 (C), 137.9 (CH). IR (film): ν $\text{(cm}^{-1})$ =2975, 1686, 1449, 1378. MS (EI): m/z (%)=126 (6, M⁺), 111 (28), 94 (85), 79 (100).

3.2.3. 4-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methylhexa-2,5-diene (4c). ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.05 (s, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 4.80–4.87 (m, 1H), 4.99 (dd, $J=10.3$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.08–5.22 (m, 2H), 5.80 (ddd, $J=$ 17.1, 10.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)= -4.7 (CH₃), -4.5 (CH₃), 18.2 (CH₃), 18.3 (C), 25.7 (CH₃), 25.9 (CH₃), 70.8 (CH), 112.4 (CH₂), 127.6 (CH), 131.9 (C),

140.7 (CH). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=2947, 2864, 1466 cm⁻¹. MS (EI): m/z (%)=211 (3, M-Me), 169 (50, M-t-Bu), 95 (22), 75 (100).

3.2.4. 4-t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy-2-methylhexa-2,5-diene (4d). ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.05 (s, 9H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 4.76–4.84 (m, 1H), 4.99 (dt, $J=10.3$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $5.10 - 5.20$ (m, 2H), 5.82 (ddd, $J=17.1$, 10.3 , 5.2 Hz, 1H), $7.30 - 7.46$ (m, 6H), $7.65 - 7.74$ (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=17.8 (CH₃), 19.3 (C), 25.5 (CH_3) , 26.9 (CH₃), 71.5 (CH), 112.7 (CH₂), 127.0 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 132.5 (C), 134.2 (C), 134.3 (C), 135.9 (CH), 136.0 (CH), 140.3 (CH). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3072, 2926, 2844, 1676, 1641, 1591. MS (EI): m/z (%)=293 (30, M-t-Bu), 199 (100).

3.2.5. 3-t-Butyldimethylsilyloxy-1-phenylpenta-1,4-diene (6a). A solution of cinnamaldehyde (7.5 mL, 60 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature to a solution of vinylmagnesium bromide (80 mL, 80 mmol, 1.0 M in THF) in THF (15 mL). After stirring for 1 h, ca. 5 mL of water was added to the reaction mixture to produce a viscous paste in the walls of the flask. The organic layer was separated. The paste was washed twice with $Et₂O$. The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO4. Filtration and removal of the solvent followed by purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 90:10) gave 14.6 g (89%) of 1-phenylpenta-1,4 dien-3-ol. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.83 (br s, OH), $4.78-4.85$ (m, 1H), 5.21 (dd, $J=10.3$, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (dd, $J=17.3$, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (ddd, $J=17.3$, 10.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dd, $J=16.0$, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=73.5 (CH), 115.2 (CH₂), 126.4 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 130.3 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 136.4 (C), 139.1 (CH). IR (film): ν $(cm⁻¹) = 3356, 1655, 1597, 1495, 1454.$ To the alcohol $(0.96 \text{ g}, 6 \text{ mmol})$ in Et₂O (20 mL) was added t-BuMe₂SiCl $(1.0 \text{ g}, 6.6 \text{ mmol})$ and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine $(0.85 \text{ g},$ 6.6 mmol). After stirring overnight, the precipitate was eliminated by filtration. Removal of the solvent and purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 99:1) furnished 1.38 g (84%) of $6a$. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.11 (s, 6H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 4.78 (br t, $J=5.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $J=10.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.29 $(d, J=17.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.89$ (ddd, $J=17.1, 10.3, 5.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 6.18 (dd, $J=15.9$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, $J=15.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.41 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=-4.7 (CH_3) , -4.6 (CH₃), 18.4 (C), 25.9 (CH₃), 74.4 (CH), 113.8 (CH₂), 126.4 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 131.6 (CH), 136.9 (C), 140.1 (CH). IR (film): ν $\text{(cm}^{-1})$ =2956, 2857, 1472, 1252. MS (EI): m/z (%)=217 $(13, M-t-Bu), 143 (35), 142 (30), 81 (100).$

3.2.6. (E) -3-Benzyloxyhexa-1,4-diene (6b). Ether 6b was obtained in 82% yield from crotonaldehyde (two steps) following the procedure described for the preparation of ether **4a.** ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.75 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 3H), 4.23 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 5.20 (d, J= 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, $J=17.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (ddq, $J=15.4$, 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (dq, $J=15.4$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (ddd, J=17.3, 10.3, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.35 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=17.8 (CH₃), 69.5 (CH₂), 80.6 (CH), 116.3 (CH2), 127.3 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.7

(CH), 130.5 (CH), 138.1 (CH), 138.6 (C). IR (film): ν $\text{(cm}^{-1})$ =3029, 2857, 1668, 1454. MS (EI): m/z (%)=170 (1, M-H₂O), 144 (6), 130 (10), 91 (90), 79 (100).

3.2.7. 3-Benzyloxy-2-methylhexa-1,4-diene (6c). Ether 6c was obtained in 78% yield from methacroleine (2 steps), following the procedure described for the preparation of 4a. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.72 (s, 3H), 4.24 (d, J= 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, $J=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, $J=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 5.23 (d, $J=10.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d, $J=17.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.84 (ddd, $J=17.3$, 10.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.45 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)= 17.6 (CH₃), 69.6 (CH₂), 83.5 (CH), 113.0 (CH₂), 116.5 (CH₂), 127.3 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 137.3 (CH), 138.6 (C), 144.1 (C). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3070, 2859, 1644, 1454. MS (EI): m/z (%)=170 (4, M-H₂O), 97 (28), 91 (100).

4. Zr-mediated pentadienylation of carbonyl compounds

4.1. General procedure for the preparation of bis(homoallylic) alcohols (procedure A)

To a solution of the dienyl ether (1 mmol) and Cp_2ZrCl_2 (321 mg, 1.1 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0° C, was added dropwise n-BuLi (2.2 mmol, 2–2.5 M in hexanes). After stirring for 10 min at this temperature, the yellow solution was refluxed for 0.5 h, and cooled to -78 °C (or 20 °C, see [Table 1\)](#page-1-0). The carbonyl compound (1.5 mmol) was added, and the mixture warmed slowly to room temperature (about 1 h). HCl 1N (5 mL) and $Et_2O(10 \text{ mL})$ were added to the solution. The organic layer was separated and washed with aq. NaHCO₃, then dried over MgSO₄. Filtration and removal of the solvent gave a yellow oil, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 92:8).

4.2. General procedure for the preparation of bis(homoallylic) alcohols in the presence of BF_3 OEt_2 (procedure B)

Procedure A was modified by the addition of $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$. (125 L, 1 mmol) at -78 °C; the mixture was stirred for 15 min at this temperature before the addition of the carbonyl compound.

[4](#page-8-0).2.1. 4-Methyl-1-phenyl-2-vinylpent-3-en-1-ol $(5a)^4$ Procedure A. Yield 78% from 4a (anti/syn 92:8).

Procedure B. Yield 72% from 4a (anti/syn 26:74). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3428, 1636, 1453, 1380. MS (EI): m/z (%)=184 $(4, M-H₂O), 169 (10), 106 (48), 105 (52), 94 (39), 77$ (100).

anti-5a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.33 (s, 3H), 1.61 $(s, 3H), 2.25$ (br s, OH), 3.24 (br q, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (d, $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, $J=9.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, $J=11.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (ddd, $J=16.0$, 11.3, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.35 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ $(ppm)=17.9$ (CH₃), 26.8 (CH₃), 51.6 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 117.0 (CH₂), 122.0 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 134.5 (C), 138.0 (CH), 142.1 (C).

Syn-5a. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.61 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 2.25 (br s, OH), 3.27 (br q, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, $J=$ 7.5 Hz, 1H), $4.88 - 5.16$ (m, 3H), 5.60 (ddd, $J=17.2$, 10.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.35 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ $(ppm)=18.3$ (CH₃), 26.1 (CH₃), 51.0 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 116.1 (CH2), 122.3 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 136.6 (C), 137.4 (CH), 141.9 (C).

4.2.2. (E)-7-Methyl-5-vinylocta-2,6-dien-4-ol (5b). Procedure A. Yield 83% (anti/syn 93:7).

Procedure B. Yield 93% (antilsyn 18:82). IR (film): ν $(cm⁻¹) = 3405, 672, 1636, 1451, 1376$. MS (EI): m/z (%)= 148 (5, M-H₂O), 133 (8), 96 (59), 81 (100).

anti-5b. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.63 (s, 3H), 1.70 $(d, J=6.5 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H})$, 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.78 (br s, OH), 3.06 (br q, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.94 (t, $J=6.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.03–5.13 (m, 3H), 5.47 (ddq, $J=15.3$, 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (dq, $J=15.3$, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.69–5.77 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ $(ppm)=17.6$ (CH₃), 18.1 (CH₃), 25.8 (CH₃), 49.6 (CH), 74.8 (CH), 116.0 (CH₂), 122.1 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 134.3 (C), 137.9 (CH).

syn-5b. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.66 (s, 3H), 1.71 (d, $J=6.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.73 (br s, OH), 1.78 (s, 3H), 3.06 (br q, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.90 (t, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.01–5.15 (m, 3H), 5.48 (ddq, J=15.3, 7.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.62–5.81 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=17.5 (CH₃), 18.0 (CH₃), 25.8 (CH3), 49.2 (CH), 75.0 (CH), 115.5 (CH2), 122.3 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 135.0 (C), 137.5 (CH).

4.2.3. (E)-6-Methyl-1-phenyl-4-vinylhepta-1,5-dien-3-ol (5c). Procedure A. Yield 93% (anti/syn 92:8).

Procedure B. Yield 84% (antilsyn 20:80). IR (film): ν $(cm⁻¹) = 3413, 1671, 1635, 1495, 1449, 1376. MS (EI): $m/z$$ $(\%) = 228$ (2, M⁺), 210 (9), 132 (58), 131 (100).

anti-5c. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.64 (s, 3H), 1.74 $(s, 3H)$, 1.85 (br s, OH), 3.19 (br q, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (t, $J=6.4$ Hz, 1H), $5.08-5.21$ (m, 3H), 5.80 (ddd, $J=17.6$, 9.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, $J=15.9$, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, $J=$ 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 5H). 13C NMR (63 MHz): ^d $(ppm)=18.3$ (CH₃), 25.9 (CH₃), 49.8 (CH), 74.6 (CH), 116.5 (CH2), 121.7 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 129.8 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 135.0 (C), 136.8 (C), 137.7 (CH).

syn-5c. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.68 (d, J=1.3 Hz, $3H$, 1.79 (d, J=1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.96 (br d, J=3.3 Hz, OH), 3.19 (br q, $J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.15 (td, $J=6.1$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), $5.06-5.20$ (m, 3H), 5.79 (ddd, $J=17.6$, 9.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, $J=15.9$, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (d, $J=15.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.20–7.45 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.2 (CH_3) , 25.9 (CH₃), 49.6 (CH), 74.9 (CH), 116.0 (CH₂), 122.1 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 131.1 (CH), 135.4 (C), 136.7 (C), 137.4 (CH).

4.2.4. 6-Methyl-4-vinylhept-5-en-3-ol (5d). Procedure A. Yield 57% (antilsyn $>$ 95:5).

Procedure B. Yield 61% (antilsyn 68:32). IR (film): ν

 $\text{(cm}^{-1})$ =3403, 1635, 1454, 1379. MS (EI): m/z (%)=136 (1, $M-H₂O$, 107 (3), 96 (84), 81 (100).

anti-5d. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.96 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28–1.36 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.65 (m, 1H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.71 (br s, OH), 1.73 (s, 3H), 2.97 (br q, $J=8.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 $(td, J=7.9, 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.05 (d, J=9.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 5.09-5.12$ $(m, 2H), 5.72$ (ddd, $J=15.9, 10.7, 8.3$ Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=10.1 (CH₃), 18.1 (CH₃), 25.9 (CH₃), 26.9 (CH₂), 49.7 (CH), 75.1 (CH), 116.0 (CH₂), 123.0 (CH), 133.6 (C), 138.3 (CH).

syn-5d. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.96 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.71 (br s, OH), 1.77 $(s, 3H), 3.02$ (br q, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.35 (td, $J=7.9$, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.02–5.16 (m, 3H), 5.65–5.82 (m, 1H). 13C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=10.0 (CH₃), 18.1 (CH₃), 26.0 (CH₃), 26.8 (CH₂), 48.9 (CH), 75.2 (CH), 115.4 (CH₂), 122.4 (CH), 135.2 (C), 138.4 (CH).

4.2.5. 2,6-Dimethyl-4-vinylhept-5-en-3-ol (5e). Procedure A. Yield 64% (antilsyn $>95:5$).

Procedure B. Yield 50% (antilsyn 57:43). IR (film): ν $\text{(cm}^{-1})$ =3437, 1630, 1466, 1379. MS (EI): m/z (%)=150 (12, M2H2O), 135 (24), 107 (50), 96 (52), 79 (100).

anti-5e. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.85 (d, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, $J=6.9$ Hz, 3H), 1.58 (br s, OH), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), $1.65-1.80$ (m, 1H), 3.03 (br q, $J=8.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, $J=7.8$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.99 – 5.15 (m, 3H), 5.73 (ddd, J=17.7, 9.8, 8.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)= 15.6 (CH3), 18.1 (CH3), 20.2 (CH3), 25.9 (CH3), 30.0 (CH), 47.6 (CH), 77.9 (CH), 116.0 (CH2), 123.3 (CH), 132.9 (C), 138.5 (CH).

syn-5e. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=0.94 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.58 (br s, OH), 1.65 (d, J=1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.77 (d, J= 1.3 Hz, 3H), $1.65-1.82$ (m, 1H), 3.12 (br q, $J=8.2$ Hz, 1H), $3.20 - 3.28$ (m, 1H), $4.99 - 5.17$ (m, 3H), 5.72 (ddd, $J=17.0$, 10.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=16.3 $(CH₃), 18.2 (CH₃), 19.9 (CH₃), 26.0 (CH₃), 30.1 (CH), 46.8)$ (CH), 78.3 (CH), 115.3 (CH₂), 122.3 (CH), 135.2 (C), 138.7 (CH).

4.2.6. 4-Methyl-2-vinylpent-3-en-1-ol (5f). Procedure A. Yield 81%. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.52 (br s, OH), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 3.18 (quint., $J=7.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.45 $(dd, J=10.3, 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, 3.52 \text{ (dd, } J=10.3, 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 5.00 (d, $J=9.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, $J=10.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, $J=17.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.69 (ddd, $J=17.2$, 10.3, 7.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.1 (CH₃), 25.9 (CH₃), 45.7 $(CH), 65.4$ $(CH_2), 115.8$ $(CH_2), 122.6$ $(CH), 135.3$ $(C),$ 138.1 (CH). MS (EI): m/z (%)=126 (2, M⁺⁻), 108 (23), 93 (65), 91 (68), 79 (100).

4.2.7. 2,5-Dimethyl-3-vinylhex-4-en-2-ol (5g).^{[2i](#page-8-0)} Procedure A. Yield 70%. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.17 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.70 (br s, OH), 1.78 (s, 3H), 2.98 (dd, $J=9.4$, 8.8 Hz, 1H), $5.05-5.20$ (m, 3H), $5.72-5.86$ (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.2 (CH₃), 26.1 (CH_3) , 26.5 (CH₃), 26.9 (CH₃), 54.4 (CH), 72.5 (C), 116.3 (CH2), 122.5 (CH), 134.6 (C), 137.9 (CH). MS (EI): m/z $(\%)=136$ (18, M-H₂O), 121 (65), 93 (81), 91 (65), 79 (100).

4.2.8. (E)-1,4-Diphenyl-2-vinylbut-3-en-1-ol $(7a)$.^{[2o](#page-8-0)} Procedure A. Yield 90% (antilsyn $>95:5$).

Procedure B. Yield 80% (antilsyn 16:84). IR (film): ν $(cm⁻¹) = 3423, 1636, 1599, 1494, 1451. \text{ MS (EI): } m/z (%) =$ 232 (2, M-H₂O), 144 (42), 129 (94), 128 (66), 77 (100).

anti-7a. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=2.31 (br s, OH), 3.25 (br q, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, $J=17.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, $J=10.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.91 (ddd, $J=$ 17.2, 10.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (dd, $J=16.0$, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), $7.18-7.33$ (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)=55.4 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 118.4 (CH₂), 126.1 (CH), 126.7 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 132.0 (CH), 136.9 (CH), 137.1 (C), 141.7 (C).

syn-7a. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=2.26 (br d, J= 3.0 Hz, OH), 3.27 (br q, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.69 (dd, $J=6.9$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (d, $J=17.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, $J=10.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (ddd, $J=17.1$, 10.8, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, $J=16.0$, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), $7.20-7.41$ (m, 10H). $13C$ NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=55.4 (CH), 76.5 (CH), 117.2 (CH₂), 126.3 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 136.9 (CH), 137.0 (C), 141.8 (C).

4.2.9. (1E,6E)-1,6-Diphenyl-4-vinylhexa-1,5-dien-3-ol (7b). Procedure A. Yield 81% (antilsyn >95:5).

Procedure B. Yield 68% (antilsyn 18:82). IR (film): ν $(cm⁻¹) = 3412, 1637, 1599, 1495, 1449. MS (EI): $m/z$$ $(\%) = 276(3, M^+), 258(3), 172(6), 144(16), 143(100), 128$ (75).

anti-7b. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=2.00 (br s, OH), 3.17 (br q, J=7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (br t, J=5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (d, $J=16.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, $J=10.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.95 (ddd, $J=16.9, 10.5, 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.24 (dd, $J=15.9, 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.28 (dd, $J=15.9$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, $J=15.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J=15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.15–7.45 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=54.2 (CH), 74.7 (CH), 118.1 (CH₂), 126.2 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 131.6 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 136.6 (C), 136.8 (CH), 137.0 (C).

syn-7b. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=2.04 (br s, OH), 3.16 (br q, $J=6.9$ Hz, 1H), $4.30-4.38$ (m, 1H), $5.17-5.25$ (m, $2H$), 5.94 (ddd, $J=17.7$, 9.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (dd, $J=16.0$, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd, $J=16.0$, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.41 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=54.2 (CH), 74.8 (CH), 117.7 (CH2), 126.3 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 127.7 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 131.6 (CH), 132.9 (CH), 136.6 (C), 136.8 (CH), 137.0 (C).

4.2.10. (E)-6-Phenyl-4-vinylhex-5-en-3-ol (7c). Procedure A. Yield 90% (anti/syn 93:7).

Procedure B. Yield 80% (antilsyn 50:50). IR (film): ν

 $(cm⁻¹) = 3415, 1637, 1599, 1495, 1449. \text{ MS (EI): } m/z \ (\%) =$ 184 (2, M-H₂O), 144 (91), 129 (100), 128 (96), 115 (85).

anti-7c. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.00 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H), $1.60-1.75$ (m, 3H), 2.98 (br q, $J=8.0$ Hz, 1H), $3.51-$ 3.60 (m, 1H), 5.21 (d, $J=17.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, $J=10.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.90 (ddd, $J=17.0$, 10.5, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (dd, $J=16.0$, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17–7.38 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=10.1 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₂), 53.9 (CH), 74.9 (CH), 117.6 (CH₂), 126.1 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 131.8 (CH), 137.2 (C), 137.2 (CH).

syn-7c. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.00 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.56–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.74 (br s, OH), 2.94–3.02 (m, 1H), $3.51-3.60$ (m, 1H), 5.18 (d, $J=17.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.22 (d, $J=10.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.89 (ddd, $J=17.5$, 10.3, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dd, $J=16.0$, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (d, $J=16.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.17– 7.38 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=10.1 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₂), 53.6 (CH), 75.0 (CH), 116.9 (CH₂), 126.2 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 132.6 (CH), 137.1 (C), 137.9 (CH).

4.2.11. 1-Phenyl-2-vinylpent-3-en-1-ol $(7d)$.^{[2o](#page-8-0)} Procedure A. Yield 85% ((E)-antil(Z)-antil(E)-syn/(Z)-syn 76:20:4:0).

Procedure B. Yield 70% (20:1:60:19). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)= 3412, 1630, 1454. MS (EI): m/z (%)=170 (4, M-H₂O), 155 (10), 106 (61), 105 (61), 79 (80), 77 (100).

(*E*)-anti-7**d**. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.59 (d, *J*= 6.3 Hz, 3H), 2.32 (br s, OH), 3.02 (br q, $J=7.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J=17.3 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J= 10.2 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (ddq, $J=15.4$, 7.2 , 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (dq, $J=15.4$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (ddd, $J=17.3$, 10.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.32 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.0 (CH₃), 55.1 (CH), 76.2 (CH), 117.6 (CH₂), 126.8 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 137.4 (CH), 141.9 (C).

(*Z*)-*anti*-7**d**. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.38 (dd, *J*= 6.8, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 2.25 (br s, OH), 3.41 (br q, $J=8.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.19–5.25 (m, 2H), 5.29 (ddq, J= $10.7, 9.7, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.47 (dq, $J=10.7, 6.8$ Hz, 1H), $5.80-$ 5.88 (m, 1H), $7.25 - 7.35$ (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ $(ppm)=12.8$ (CH₃), 50.2 (CH), 76.2 (CH), 117.1 (CH₂), 126.3 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 137.6 (CH), 142.0 (C).

 (E) -syn-7d. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.74 (dd, J=6.4, 1.5 Hz, 3H), 2.28 (br d, $J=2.5$ Hz, OH), 3.02 (br q, $J=$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, $J=7.5$, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.92-5.01 (m, 1H), 5.45 (ddq, $J=15.4$, 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.59–5.68 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)= 18.2 (CH₃), 55.4 (CH), 76.3 (CH), 116.5 (CH₂), 126.9 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 137.3 (CH), 141.9 (C).

(*Z*)-*syn*-7**d**. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.59 (d, *J*= 6.8 Hz, 3H), 2.23 (br s, OH), 3.44 (br q, $J=7.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.53–4.57 (m, 1H), 4.92–5.02 (m, 2H), 5.40–5.46 (m, 1H), 5.60–5.65 (m, 1H), 5.75 (dq, $J=10.9$, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25– 7.35 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)=13.2 (CH₃),

49.5 (CH), 76.7 (CH), 116.4 (CH2), 126.8 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.0 (3 CH), 137.0 (CH), 141.9 (C).

4.2.12. 2-Vinylpent-3-en-1-ol (7e). Procedure A. Yield 62% (E/Z 71:29). IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3356, 1638, 1453, 1377. MS (EI): m/z (%)=95 (2), 81 (54), 79 (100).

 (E) -7e. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.50 (br s, OH), 1.71 $(d, J=6.4 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H})$, 2.91 (quint., $J=7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}$), 3.46–3.55 $(m, 2H), 5.11-5.17$ $(m, 2H), 5.36$ (ddq, $J=15.4, 7.8, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.59 (dq, J=15.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.66–5.76 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=18.1 (CH₃), 49.8 (CH), 65.1 $(CH₂)$, 116.4 (CH₂), 128.2 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 137.9 (CH).

(Z)-7e. ¹H NMR (250 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.65 (br s, OH), 1.67 $(dd, J=6.8, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), 3.33 \text{ (quint., } J=7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.46 3.55$ (m, 2H), $5.11 - 5.17$ (m, 2H), 5.27 (ddq, $J=10.8$, 9.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.66–5.76 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz): δ (ppm)=13.2 (CH₃), 44.6 (CH), 65.3 (CH₂), 116.6 (CH₂), 127.4 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 137.5 (CH).

4.2.13. 3-Methyl-1-phenyl-2-vinylbut-3-en-1-ol (7f). Procedure A. Yield 76% (anti/syn 88:12).

Procedure B. Yield 48% (anti/syn 35:65) accompanied with 29% of the linear isomer 8. IR (film): ν (cm⁻¹)=3424, 1644, 1494, 1453. MS (EI): m/z (%)=170 (3, M-H₂O), 155 (8), 106 (98), 105 (99), 77 (100).

anti-7f. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.61 (s, 3H), 2.18 (br d, $J=2.0$ Hz, OH), 2.98 (t, $J=8.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.70 (dd, $J=7.8$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (s, 1H), 4.77 (br s, 1H), 5.18 (d, $J=17.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dd, $J=10.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (ddd, $J=17.1$, 10.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)=21.8 (CH₃), 59.9 (CH), 74.9 (CH), 113.1 (CH₂), 118.1 (CH₂), 126.8 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 137.1 (CH), 142.0 (C), 144.5 (C).

syn-7f. ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.81 (s, 3H), 2.29 (br d, $J=2.1$ Hz, OH), 3.07 (t, $J=8.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.64 (dd, $J=9.4$, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (d, $J=17.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, $J=10.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 5.05 (br s, 1H), 6.01 (ddd, $J=17.1$, 10.3, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ $(ppm)=20.0$ (CH₃), 60.3 (CH), 74.5 (CH), 114.1 (CH₂), 117.1 (CH2), 127.0 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 135.9 (CH), 141.9 (C), 145.0 (C).

4.2.14. 3-Methyl-1-phenylhexa-3,5-dien-1-ol (8) . ¹H NMR (500 MHz): δ (ppm)=1.83 (s, 3H), 2.10 (br s, OH), 2.42 (dd, $J=13.7$, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (dd, $J=13.7$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (dd, $J=9.1$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dd, $J=10.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, $J=16.9$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (d, $J=10.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dt, J=16.9, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz): δ (ppm)=16.7 (CH₃), 50.3 (CH₂), 71.7 (CH), 116.3 (CH₂), 125.7 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 135.2 (C), 144.0 (C).

References and notes

1. (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207. (b) Roush, W. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M.,

Fleming, I., Heathcock, C. W., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 2, p 1.

- 2. Leading references for pentadienylmetals: Li: (a) Gérard, F.; Miginiac, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 1924. Li and K: (b) Schlosser, M.; Zellner, A.; Leroux, F. Synlett 2001, 1830. Mg: (c) Yasuda, H.; Yamauchi, M.; Nakamura, A.; Sei, T.; Kai, Y.; Yasuoka, N.; Kasai, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1980, 53, 1089. Zn: (d) Gérard, F.; Miginiac, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 2527. (e) Ghosez, L.; Marko, I.; Hesbain-Frisque, A. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 5211. (f) Jung, M. E.; Nichols, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7667. (g) Fuijta, K.; Schlosser, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1982, 65, 1258. (h) Suginome, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Fujii, K.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9608. Ti: (i) Okamoto, S.; Sato, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 624, 151. (j) Zellner, A.; Schlosser, M. Synlett 2001, 1016. Cr: (k) Sodeoka, M.; Yamada, H.; Shimizu, T.; Watanuki, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 712. Sn: (l) Nishigaichi, Y.; Fujimoto, M.; Takuwa, A. Synlett 1994, 731. Si: (m) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. Chem. Lett. 1994, 1773. (n) Vallée, Y.; Pelloux-Léon, N.; Minassian, F. Synlett 2000, 242. In: (o) Hirashita, T.; Inoue, S.; Yamamura, H.; Kawai, M.; Araki, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 549, 305. (p) Melekhov, A.; Fallis, A. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7867.
- 3. The use of alcohol derivatives, i.e. acetates or carbonates, for preparing pentadienylmetals is limited to titanium and chromium complexes, see Refs. 2i,k.
- 4. Bertus, P.; Cherouvrier, F.; Szymoniak, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 1677.
- 5. For reviews of 'Cp₂Zr' chemistry, see: (a) Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1998, 71, 755. (b) Negishi, E.; Kondakov, D. Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1996, 26, 417. (c) Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 124.
- 6. (a) Negishi, E.; Huo, S. In Titanium and Zirconium in Organic Synthesis; Marek, I., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002; p 1. (b) Rousset, C. J.; Swanson, D. R.; Lamaty, F.; Negishi, E.

Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5105. (c) Ito, H.; Taguchi, T.; Hanzawa, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1295. (d) Ito, H.; Nakamura, T.; Taguchi, T.; Hanzawa, Y. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4507.

- 7. The pentadienyl ethers are stable compounds in comparison with pentadienyl bromides.
- 8. For the 1,3-metallotropic rearrangement with Zr, see: (a) Ref. 5. (b) Wipf, P.; Jahn, H. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 12853, and references therein.
- 9. We have noticed that this procedure is also useful for the preparation of allylzirconocenes from allylic ethers.
- 10. The anti configuration was defined with reference to the simpler allylmetalation reactions, by considering pentadienylzirconiums as alkenyl-substituted allylmetals. The anti configuration was undoubtedly assigned to the major isomers by a cyclization method. For an example see Ref. 4.
- 11. Reetz, M. T.; Sauerwald, M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2292.
- 12. (a) Ireland, R. E.; Mueller, R. H.; Willard, A. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2868. (b) Szymoniak, J.; Thery, N.; Moïse, C. Synlett 1997, 1239.
- 13. Similar reversal of anti to syn stereoselectivity was also obtained with $TiCl₄$. The reversal of *anti* to *syn* selectivity was found to be less stronger when the solution of the aldehyde and $BF₃$ in THF was added to the reaction mixture.
- 14. The predominant syn diastereoselection in the Lewis acidmediated allylic tin-aldehyde condensation reactions was rationalized similarly, see [Ref. 1](#page-7-0).
- 15. The formation of the ε -regioisomeric by-product 8 from the ether 6c in the presence of a Lewis acid (see [Table 3\)](#page-3-0) also corroborates a non-cyclic mechanism.
- 16. For example, different open transition structures have been considered for the Lewis acid-mediated allylmetalation reactions, see: (a) Denmark, S. E.; Weber, E. J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1983, 66, 1655. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Weber, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7970.